

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,479	02/08/2002	Jan E. Zielinski	ZIEL1100US	1736
759	90 01/14/2003			
Lisa A Haile Gray Cary Ware Freidenrich			EXAMINER	
	Drive Suite 1600		WRIGHT, SONYA N	
San Diego, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/890,479	ZIELINSKI, JAN E.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Sonya Wright	1626
Period fo	• •	appears on the cover sheet v	•
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestored for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  INTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a) <u></u> □		This action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice uncon of Claims	owance except for formal made in the formal made in	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withou	drawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9) 🗌 🗆	he specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)∐ 1	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□ 1	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	] All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(		, ,	
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .
i. Patent and Tra ΓΟ-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 1626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-18 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall related to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(b), provides that "special technical features" mean those technical features which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1 (e), provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

"The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:

- (i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product, or
- (ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process, or
- (iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process,..."

Art Unit: 1626

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-5 drawn to a compounds and a composition, classified in class 540 and various subclasses.

Group II, claims 6-12 drawn to a method of use, classified in class 549 and various subclasses.

Group III, claims 13, 14 and 16 drawn to a method of use, classified in class 549 and various subclasses.

Group IV, claim 15, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 549 and various subclasses.

Group V, claims 17 and 18 drawn to a method of use, classified in class 549 and various subclasses.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features that define a contribution over the prior art.

The compounds claimed contain a hesperitin group, which does not define a contribution over the art. The substituents on the hesperitin core vary extensively, and when the formula of claim 1 is taken as a whole, a plethora of vastly different compounds are possible. Each of the groups set forth above represents a discrete

Art Unit: 1626

invention. Accordingly, the unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

A telephone call was made to Dr. Lisa Haile on August 29, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Wright, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7921. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Art Unit: 1626

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 1600

Sonya Wright

January 10, 2003